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## 1 Introduction: Discrete Constant Velocity Process

When performing recursive state estimation with a Kalman filter (or similar machinery), it is common to model the dynamics of the state as a Markov random walk in the highest estimated time derivatives, with zero-mean normally-distributed increments.

For instance, a constant velocity model for position $x(t)$ and velocity $v(t)$ over discrete time step $\triangle t$ is often written:

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t+\Delta t) & =x(t)+\Delta t \cdot v(t)  \tag{1}\\
v(t+\Delta t) & =v(t)+\Delta t \cdot \eta  \tag{2}\\
\eta & \in \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Delta t \cdot \sigma_{v}^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The velocity $v(t)$ wanders away from $v(0)$ with variance proportional to $t \sigma_{v}^{2}$. Figure 1 shows a few time series sampled from such a process. The velocity clearly isn't constant over time - the model is so named because the expected velocity displacement is zero from one step to the next.

Propagating the moments of a joint normal distribution of $x$ and $v$ through one step of the process and applying linearity of expectation yields the familiar mean and covariance updates of the Kalman filter prediction step:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\binom{x}{v} \in \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})  \tag{4}\\
& A(\Delta t) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \Delta t \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \begin{aligned}
Q(\Delta t) & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \Delta t \cdot \sigma_{v}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
\eta & \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, Q) \\
\boldsymbol{\mu} & \rightarrow \mathrm{E}[A \boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\eta}] \\
& =A \boldsymbol{\mu}
\end{aligned} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1: Sampled random walks in velocity with $\sigma_{v}^{2}=1$ and $\triangle t=1$, and the corresponding time series of position.

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \rightarrow \mathrm{E}\left[(A \boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\eta})(A \boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\eta})^{T}\right]  \tag{10}\\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[(A \boldsymbol{\mu})(A \boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}+\boldsymbol{\eta}(A \boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}+(A \boldsymbol{\mu}) \boldsymbol{\eta}^{T}+\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{T}\right]  \tag{11}\\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[A \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} A^{T}\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} A^{T}\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[A \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{T}\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{T}\right]  \tag{12}\\
& =A \cdot \mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}\right] \cdot A^{T}+\mathrm{E}[\boldsymbol{\eta}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} A^{T}+A \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \mathrm{E}[\boldsymbol{\eta}]^{T}+\mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{T}\right]  \tag{13}\\
& =A \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot A^{T}+Q \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The covariance increment $Q$ is called the process noise.
For later analysis, we expand the mean and covariance update expressions in terms of $\Delta t$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\mu} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}+\Delta t \cdot\binom{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{v}}{0}  \tag{15}\\
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}+\Delta t \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}+\Delta t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} & \sigma_{v}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 Continuous Constant Velocity Process

Performing the discrete covariance propagation in Eq. 16 once with step $\Delta t$ produces a different result than performing the propagation twice in a row, each with a half step $\frac{\Delta t}{2}$. That's unfortunate: the resulting variances and correlations will depend on the steps we take to get from $t_{0}$ to $t_{0}+\Delta t$. We'd prefer a discrete update procedure with the property that applying $N$ steps of size $\frac{\Delta t}{N}$ yields the same result as applying one step of size $\Delta t$, for any $N>0$. Ideally, we'd like to perform infinitely many propagation steps, each with infinitesimal step size. The result is a Wiener process in velocity (also known as Brownian motion).

With that end in mind, we reformulate the process in the continuous time domain as a system of ordinary differential equations. Differentiating Eqs. 15 and 16 by $\Delta t$ at $\Delta t=0$ gives independent
systems for the mean and covariance:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}} & =\binom{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{v}}{0}  \tag{17}\\
\dot{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} & \sigma_{v}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

These systems are straightforward to solve by definite integration over $0 \ldots t$ of first the higher and then the lower derivatives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) & =\binom{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}+t \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{v}}{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{v}}  \tag{19}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}(t) & =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+t \cdot \sigma_{v}^{2}  \tag{20}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}(t) & =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}+t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \cdot \sigma_{v}^{2}  \tag{21}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, x}(t) & =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, x}+2 t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}+t^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+\frac{t^{3}}{3} \cdot \sigma_{v}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

This solution can be expressed in the same form as the discrete update:

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\mu} & \rightarrow A(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}  \tag{23}\\
& =\boldsymbol{\mu}+\binom{t \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{v}}{0}  \tag{24}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \rightarrow A(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot A(t)^{T}+Q(t)  \tag{25}\\
& =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}+t^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} & t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} \\
t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v} & 0
\end{array}\right)+Q(t)  \tag{26}\\
Q(t) & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{t^{3}}{3} & \frac{t^{2}}{2} \\
\frac{t^{2}}{2} & t
\end{array}\right) \cdot \sigma_{v}^{2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The mean update for the constant velocity model is identical in the discrete and continuous formulations (Eqs. 15 and 24), because the dynamics are linear in $\mu$, but the covariance update differs in the process noise term (Eqs. 6 and 27). Applying the continuous formulation of the process update over a finite time interval $\Delta t$ yields the same distribution regardless of how the interval is partioned into substeps.

## 3 Continuous Constant Acceleration Process

The same analysis applies to random walks in higher time derivatives. Consider a discrete constant acceleration model:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
v \\
a
\end{array}\right) & \in \mathcal{N}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})  \tag{28}\\
A(\Delta t) & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \Delta t & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \Delta t \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)  \tag{29}\\
Q(\Delta t) & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Delta t \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{30}\\
\mu & \rightarrow A \boldsymbol{\mu}  \tag{31}\\
& =\mu+\Delta t \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{v} \\
\mu_{a} \\
0
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)  \tag{32}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \rightarrow A \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot A^{T}+Q  \tag{33}\\
& =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}+\Delta t \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a} & 2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a} & \sigma_{a}^{2}
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating the mean and covariance by $\Delta t$ at $\Delta t=0$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{v} \\
\mu_{a} \\
0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{35}\\
& \dot{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a} & 2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a} & \sigma_{a}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating over $0 \ldots t$ from higher to lower derivatives (i.e. from the lower right of $\dot{\Sigma}$ in Eq. 36):

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) & =\boldsymbol{\mu}+\left(\begin{array}{c}
t \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{v}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a} \\
t \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a} \\
0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{37}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a}(t) & =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a}+t \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a}(t)=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a}+t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2}  \tag{39}\\
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}(t)=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+2 t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a}+t^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a}+\frac{t^{3}}{3} \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2}  \tag{40}\\
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a}(t)=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a}+t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a}+\frac{t^{3}}{6} \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2}  \tag{41}\\
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}(t)=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}+t \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a}\right)+\frac{3 t^{2}}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a}+\frac{t^{3}}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a}+\frac{t^{4}}{8} \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2}  \tag{42}\\
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, x}(t)=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, x}+2 t \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}+t^{2} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, a}\right)+t^{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, a}+\frac{t^{4}}{4} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a, a}+\frac{t^{5}}{20} \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Equivalently:

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \rightarrow A(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot A(t)^{T}+Q(t)  \tag{44}\\
Q(t) & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{t^{5}}{20} & \frac{t^{4}}{8} & \frac{t^{3}}{6} \\
\frac{t^{4}}{8} & \frac{t^{3}}{3} & \frac{t^{2}}{2} \\
\frac{t^{3}}{6} & \frac{t^{2}}{2} & t
\end{array}\right) \cdot \sigma_{a}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Continuous Constant Jerk Process

Applying similar analysis to a constant jerk (third time derivative) model yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)=\boldsymbol{\mu}+\left(\begin{array}{c}
t \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{v}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a}+\frac{t^{3}}{6} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j} \\
t \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{a}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j} \\
t \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j} \\
0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{46}\\
& Q(t) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{t^{7}}{252} & t^{6} & \frac{t^{5}}{30} & t^{4} \\
\frac{t^{6}}{72} & t^{5} & & t^{4} \\
\hline \frac{t^{3}}{6} \\
\frac{t^{5}}{30} & \frac{t^{4}}{8} & \frac{t^{3}}{3} & \frac{t^{2}}{2} \\
\frac{t^{4}}{24} & \frac{t^{3}}{6} & t^{2} & t
\end{array}\right) \cdot \sigma_{j}^{2} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 Continuous Damped Velocity Process

For a system where velocity is continuously and proportionally damped towards zero with strength $\alpha$, the evolution of $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\binom{x}{v}$ over time is a first-order system of linear differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} & =v  \tag{48}\\
\dot{v} & =-\alpha v \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2: Sampled discrete damped velocity processes with $\alpha=0.25, \sigma^{2}=1$, and $\triangle t=1$, and the corresponding evolutions of position.

Figure 2 shows a few time series sampled from such a process.
This system can be solved by expressing the system as a matrix and exponentiating:

$$
\begin{align*}
K & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & -\alpha
\end{array}\right)  \tag{50}\\
\dot{\mu} & =K \mu  \tag{51}\\
A(t) & \equiv \exp (t \cdot K)  \tag{52}\\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{1-\exp (-\alpha t)}{\alpha} \\
0 & \exp (-\alpha t)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{53}\\
\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) & =A(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the upper right coefficient of $A$ should be evaluated by Taylor series expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\exp (-\alpha t)}{\alpha}=t \cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \alpha t+\frac{1}{6}(\alpha t)^{2}-\frac{1}{24}(\alpha t)^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series expansion also shows that the damped velocity update is identical to the constant velocity update when $\alpha=0$, as expected.
We can formulate the continuous covariance evolution in terms of the dynamics matrix $A$ and the process noise $Q$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{Q} & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{v}^{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{56}\\
\dot{\Sigma} & \rightarrow A \boldsymbol{\Sigma} A^{T}+t \dot{Q}  \tag{57}\\
& =(I+t K) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot(I+t K)^{T}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)+t Q \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}+t K \boldsymbol{\Sigma}+t \boldsymbol{\Sigma} K^{T}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)+t Q  \tag{59}\\
& =\boldsymbol{\Sigma}+t\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}-\alpha \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}-\alpha \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} & \sigma_{v}^{2}-2 \alpha \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating by $t$ at $t=0$ gives:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}-\alpha \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v}  \tag{61}\\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}-\alpha \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x, v} & \sigma_{v}^{2}-2 \alpha \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v, v}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We solve the system by integrating over $0 \ldots t$, starting from the lower right of Eq. 61:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma(t) & =A(t) \cdot \Sigma \cdot A(t)^{T}+Q(t)  \tag{62}\\
Q(t) & \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4 \exp (-\alpha t)-\exp (-2 \alpha t)+2 \alpha t-3}{2 \alpha^{3}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-\exp (-\alpha t)}{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-\exp (-\alpha t)}{\alpha}\right)^{2} & \frac{1-\exp (-2 \alpha t)}{2 \alpha}
\end{array}\right) \cdot \sigma_{v}^{2} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the coefficients of $Q$ should be evaluated by Taylor series expansions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1-\exp (-2 \alpha t)}{2 \alpha} & =t \cdot\left(1-\alpha t+\frac{2}{3}(\alpha t)^{2}-\frac{1}{3}(\alpha t)^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)\right)  \tag{64}\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-\exp (-\alpha t)}{\alpha}\right)^{2} & =\frac{t^{2}}{2} \cdot\left(1-\alpha t+\frac{7}{12}(\alpha t)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(\alpha t)^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)\right)  \tag{65}\\
\frac{4 \exp (-\alpha t)-\exp (-2 \alpha t)+2 \alpha t-3}{2 \alpha^{3}} & =\frac{t^{3}}{3} \cdot\left(1-\frac{3}{4} \alpha t+\frac{7}{20}(\alpha t)^{2}-\frac{1}{8}(\alpha t)^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{4}\right)\right) \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

The series expansions confirm that the damped velocity process noise is identical to the constant velocity process noise when $\alpha=0$.

